

Representation theory from lie algebra perspective

SUNAINA PATI

July 2, 2024

§1 Homomorphism theorems

Definition 1.1. Let L be a Lie algebra and let V and W be L -modules. An L -module homomorphism or Lie homomorphism from V to W is a linear map $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ such that $\theta(x \cdot v) = x \cdot \theta(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $x \in L$.

An isomorphism is a bijective L -module homomorphism.

Remark 1.2. This makes sense because $x \cdot v \in V$ and $\theta v \in W$. So $x \cdot \theta(v)$ is also in W . And it is using that it is L -module.

§2 Schur's lemma

Theorem 2.1. Let L be a complex lie algebra and V be a finite dimensional simple L -module where $\theta : V \rightarrow V$ is an L -module homomorphism. Then $\theta = \lambda \text{id}_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since we are working in \mathbb{C} , let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an eigenvalue of θ . Let $v \in V$ be the corresponding eigenvector. So

$$\theta(v) = \lambda v \implies v \in \text{Null}(\theta - \lambda \text{id}_V) \implies \{0\} = \text{Null}(\theta - \lambda \text{id}_V) \subset V \text{ is a submodule}$$

$$V = \text{Null}(\theta - \lambda \text{id}_V) \implies \forall u \in V, \theta(u) = \lambda(u) \implies \theta = \lambda \text{id}_V.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. Let L be a complex lie algebra and abelian. And V be a simple finite dimensional module. Then $\dim(V) = 1$.

Proof. We define $\theta_x : V \rightarrow V$ and $\theta_x(v) = x \cdot v$. Note that $\theta_x : V \rightarrow V$ is an L -module homomorphism. Note that

$$y \cdot \theta_x(v) = y(x \cdot v) = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - [x, y] \cdot v = \theta_x(y \cdot v).$$

Since L is complex and θ_x is $V \rightarrow V \implies \theta_x = \lambda_x \text{id}_V$. So $x \cdot v = \theta_x v = \lambda_x v \implies \text{span} v \subset V$ is submodule. □

Cartan's criteria

SUNAINA PATI

July 10, 2024

§1 Cartan's criteria for solvability

Theorem 1.1. Suppose L is a complex solvable lie algebra. Suppose L is a lie algebra. Then

$$L \text{ is solvable} \iff \forall x, y, z \in L, k([x, y], z) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose L is solvable. Then consider $ad : L \rightarrow gl(L)$. Note that $im(ad)$ is a quotient and homomorphism of L , hence solvable. So there exists a basis of L such that $\forall w \in L$, ad_w is upper triangular matrix. Hence $[ad_x, ad_y]$ is upper triangular matrix. Hence $[ad_x, ad_y]ad_z$ is upper triangular matrix. So $Tr([ad_x, ad_y]ad_z) = 0 \implies k([x, y], z) = 0$.

Suppose $\forall x, y, z \in L$, we have $k([x, y], z) = 0$. We will show that L' is nilpotent. Note that $w = [x, y] \in L' \implies k(w, z) = 0$. We know that by jordan decomposition, $ad_w = (ad_w)_d + (ad_w)_n = W_d + W - n$. But note that $tr(W \cdot \overline{W_d}) = tr(W_d \cdot \overline{W_d}) = |\lambda_1|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2$ and λ_i is eigenvalue of W . But $tr(W \cdot \overline{W_d}) = tr(ad_{[x, y]} \cdot ad_{\overline{w_d}}) = 0$. So the eigenvalues are 0. So L' is nilpotent. So L is solvable. \square

§2 Cartan's criteria for semi simplicity

Definition 2.1. $W^\perp = \{x \in W | k(x, w) = 0 \forall w \in W\}$ where k is the killing form and W is subspace of lie algebra L .

Theorem 2.2. If I is ideal of L then I^\perp is ideal of L .

Proof. Let $x \in L$ and $j \in I^\perp$. We want to show $[j, x] \in I^\perp$. We want to show

$$tr(ad_{[j, x]} \cdot ad_i) = 0 \forall i \in I.$$

But we know that

$$tr([a, b]c) = tr(a[b, c]).$$

So

$$tr(ad_{[j, x]} \cdot ad_i) = tr(ad_j \cdot ad_{[x, i]}) \text{ but } [x, i] \in I \implies tr(ad_j \cdot ad_{[x, i]}) = 0.$$

\square

Theorem 2.3. Suppose L is a lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then L is semi-simple $\iff k$ is non-degenerate. That is if $x \neq 0 \implies k(x, x) \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose L is semi-simple. So $\text{rad } L$ is 0. So there is no solvable ideal of L . Note that $L \subset L$ is an ideal. Now take $x, y, z \in L^\perp \implies [x, y] \in (L^\perp)'$. Note that $k([x, y], z) = 0$ as $[x, y] \in L$. So L^\perp is solvable (by the criterion) and ideal. So $L^\perp = 0$. Hence k is non-degenerate.

Other way: Say L is not simple \implies it has solvable ideals. Take $0 \neq I \subset L$ a solvable ideal $\implies \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I^{(N)} = 0$ and $I^{(N-1)} \neq 0$. Let $A = I^{(N-1)}$. Now $\forall y \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ad_a ad_x ad_a(y) &= [a, [x, [a, y]]] = 0 \implies (ad_a ad_x)^2 = 0 \\ \implies ad_a \cdot ad_x \text{ is nilpotent} &\implies \text{tr}(ad_a \cdot ad_x) = 0 \implies k(a, x) = 0 \implies k(a, a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

§3 Why is it called semi-simple

Now, we will understand why it is called semi-simple!

Theorem 3.1. Let L is a lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then L is semisimple $\implies \exists$ simple ideals $L_1, \dots, L_n \subset L$ such that $L = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$.

Proof. We do induction on $\dim L$. Base case: $\dim L = 1 \implies L$ is simple. Say for all lie algebras of $\dim < n$, the statement holds and $\dim L = n$. Let $I \subset L$ be ideal of L and minimal dimension. If $I = L$ then L is simple. If $I \neq L$ then we have the following claim.

Claim 3.2.

$$L = I \oplus I^\perp, I, I^\perp \text{ are semi-simple.}$$

Proof. Note that

$$x \neq 0 \in I \cap I^\perp \implies k(x, x) = 0 \implies k \text{ is degenerate} \implies x = 0.$$

Note that I, I^\perp commute.

$$[x, w] \in I, I^\perp \implies [x, w] = 0 \forall x, w \in I, I^\perp.$$

Note that $L = I + I^\perp$ as $I \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphism. So $V \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is surjective and kernel is I^\perp . And dimensions follow! They are semi-simple, because suppose $J \subset I$ is a solvable ideal. Then

$$[J, I^\perp] \subset [I, I^\perp] = 0 \implies J \subset I^\perp \text{ and solvable.}$$

Not possible. So both are semi-simple. □

Now use induction on I^\perp .

Other direction: Suppose

$$L = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n.$$

Let $I = \text{rad } L$. Let $I_k = [I, L_k]$. Note that $I_k \subset L_k$ a solvable ideal. So $I_k = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} [I, L] &= [I, L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n] \subset I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n = 0 \\ \implies I &\subset Z(L) \subset Z(L_1) \oplus \dots \oplus Z(L_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Weights, Invariance lemma, Engel's theorem and Lie's theorem

SUNAINA PATI

July 1, 2024

We are dealing with lie algebras

§1 Weights

Definition 1.1. A weight for a lie subalgebra A of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a linear map $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$ such that

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V : a(v) = \lambda(a)v \forall a \in A\}$$

Note that this is the generalisation of eigenvectors.

Note that V_λ forms a vector subspace of V as if $v, w \in V_\lambda$ then

$$a(\alpha v + \beta w) = a(\alpha v) + a(\beta w) = \alpha a(v) + \beta a(w)$$

$$\alpha \lambda(a)v + \beta \lambda(a)w = \lambda(a)(\alpha v + \beta w).$$

Problem 1.2. If $a, b : V \rightarrow V$ are commuting linear transformations and W is the kernel of a , then W is b -invariant.

Proof. Let $w \in W$, then

$$a(bw) = b(aw) = 0 \implies bw \in W.$$

□

§2 The invariance lemma

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that A is an ideal of a Lie subalgebra L of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Let $W = \{v \in V : a(v) = 0 \forall a \in A\}$. Then W is an L -invariant subspace of V .

Here we use the famous trick that $ax = xa - [a, x]$

Proof. Let $w \in W$ and $x \in L$. We have to show that $a(xw) = 0 \forall a \in A$. Note that

$$a(xw) = x(aw) + [a, x](w) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 2.2 (Invariance lemma). Assume that F has characteristic zero. Let L be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and let A be an ideal of L . Let $\lambda : A \rightarrow F$ be a weight of A . The associated weight space

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V : av = \lambda(a)v \forall a \in A\}$$

is an L -invariant subspace of V .

Proof. If $y \in L$ and $w \in V_\lambda$ then $y(w) \in V_\lambda$. That is, to show that $\forall a \in A, a(y(w)) = \lambda(a)(y(w))$.

Again, using the above trick, we get

$$a(yw) = y(aw) + [a, y](w) = y(\lambda(a)w) + \lambda([a, y])(w) = \lambda(a)yw + \lambda([a, y])(w).$$

So it is enough to show $\lambda([a, y])(w) = 0$.

Consider $U = \text{span}\{w, y(w), \dots, y^{m-1}(w)\}$ such that they are linearly independent and hence $w, y(w), \dots, y^{m-1}(w)$ are basis of U .

Claim 2.3. Let $z \in A$, then wrt the basis $w, y(w), \dots, y^{m-1}(w)$, z is represented as an Upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $\lambda(z)$.

Proof. We prove it by induction on columns (from left to right). For our base case, it is true as $zv = \lambda(z)v$. For yw , note that

$$z(yw) = y(zw) + [z, y]w = \lambda(z)(yw) + \lambda[z, y](w)$$

which is the second column.

Say it is true till k th column. Hence,

$$z(y^{r-1}w) = \lambda(z)(y^{r-1}w) + u, u \in \text{span}\{w, y(w), \dots, y^{r-2}(w)\}.$$

So $k + 1$ th colum will be

$$\begin{aligned} z(y^r w) &= zy(y^{r-1}w) = (yz + [z, y])(y^{r-1}w) = yzy^{r-1}w + [z, y]y^{r-1}w \\ &= \lambda(z)(y^r w) + yu + [z, y]y^{r-1}w \end{aligned}$$

Since $[z, y] \in A$, we get $[z, y]y^{r-1}w = \lambda([z, y])y^{r-1}w \in \text{span}\{w, y(w), \dots, y^{r-1}(w)\}$. So induction works. \square

Now take $z = [a, y]$. Note that the trace of the matrix of z acting on U is $m\lambda(z)$. U is invariant under the action of $a \in A$, and U is y -invariant by construction. Note that trace is 0. So $\lambda(z) = 0$ as char is 0. So done. We proved it. \square

Problem 2.4. Let $x, y : V \rightarrow V$ be linear maps from a complex vector space V to itself. Suppose that x and y both commute with $[x, y]$. Then $[x, y]$ is a nilpotent map.

Proof. Note that if λ be an eigen value of the linear map $[x, y]$. Let $W = \{v \in V : [x, y]v = \lambda v\}$ be the eigenspace. Note that it is a subspace of V .

Let L be the lie algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ spanned by x, y , and $[x, y]$. Note that $\text{span}\{[x, y]\}$ is an ideal of L . So the invariance lemma implies that W is invariant under L . So it is invariant under x and y .

Pick any basis of W and let X and Y be the matrices of x and y wrt the basis. So $[x, y] = XY - YX$. But every element of W is an eigenvector of $[x, y]$ with eigenvalue λ .

Claim 2.5. $XY - YX$ is a scalar matrix with scalar λ wrt the basis

However, taking trace, gives us

$$0 = \lambda \dim W \implies \lambda = 0.$$

Claim 2.6. If a linear operator $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ on a vector space is nilpotent, then its only eigenvalue is 0. And it similarly if only eigenvalues are 0 then it is nilpotent.

Proof. Let A be a nilpotent, so $A^n = 0$ for some n . Now let v be an eigenvector: $Av = \lambda v$ for some scalar λ . Now we get

$$0 = A^n v = \lambda^n v \implies \lambda = 0.$$

Other direction: Suppose that all the eigenvalues of the matrix A are zero. Then the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A is

$$p(t) = \det(A - tI) = \pm t^n \implies 0 = p(A) = \pm A^n \implies A \text{ is nilpotent.}$$

□

So using the above claim, we are done.

□

§3 Engel's theorem

We will try to prove Engel's theorem. But what does it say? But first we state a common result in linear algebra.

Theorem 3.1. Let V be a n dim vector space. And let $x : V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent map. Then there exists a basis of V in which x is an upper triangular matrix.

Proof. Since x is nilpotent, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^N = 0$. Now $0 \neq v \in V \implies x^N(v) = 0$. Let m be smallest m such that $w = x^{m-1}v \neq 0$. So $x(w) = 0 \implies w \in \text{Null}(x)$.

If $n = 1$. Then $V = \text{span}(w)$ as $w \neq 0$. So the transformation x 's matrix wrt w is $[0]$. Say the statement is true for any k dimensional vector space. We will show that it is true for any $k + 1$ dimensional vector space.

Let $W = \text{span} w$ which is subspace of V . So V/W is k dimensional.

Note that \bar{x} is nilpotent as $\bar{x} = x + W$ and

$$(\bar{x})^n = (x + W)^n = x^n + W.$$

And $x^n = 0 \in V, W \subseteq V$. So $(\bar{x})^n = 0 + W$. Hence nilpotent.

So, we apply induction hypothesis to V/W . We get a basis $\bar{B} = \{v_1 + W, \dots, v_k + W\}$. Note that $\bar{x}(v_j + W) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j-1} v_{j-1} + W$. Take the basis $B = \{w, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. We get $x(v_j) = \alpha_0 w + \dots + \alpha_{j-1} v_{j-1}$. And done! It satisfies the Upper triangular matrix! □

Theorem 3.2. Let V be a vector space. Suppose that L is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that every element of L is a nilpotent linear transformation of V . Then there is a basis of V in which every element of L is represented by a strictly upper triangular matrix.

Proof. But before proving this, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $L \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is such that every $x \in L$ is nilpotent. Then $\exists 0 \neq v \in V$ such that $x(v) = 0 \forall x \in L$.

Proof. We do induction on L . Say $\dim L$ is 1. Then by the above we showed that there is some non zero $v \in V$ such that $z(v) = 0$. But L is spanned by z . Then done. Say it is true for all lie algebra of dimension upto k . Suppose $\dim L = k + 1$.

Claim 3.4. There is an ideal $I \subset L$ such that dimension of I is k .

Proof. Let A be maximal lie subalgebra of L . Consider L/A . Consider the linear map $\overline{ad} : L/A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L/A)$ such that

$$\overline{ad}_a(x) = [a, x] + A.$$

Claim 3.5. \overline{ad}_a is a lie homomorphism.

Proof. To show it is a homomorphism, note that

$$\overline{ad}(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha \overline{ad}(a) + \beta \overline{ad}(b).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{ad}(\alpha a + \beta b)(x) &= [\alpha a + \beta b, x] + A = \alpha[a, x] + \beta[b, x] + A \\ &= \alpha \overline{ad}(a) + \beta \overline{ad}(b). \end{aligned}$$

To show it is a lie homomorphism, we have to show

$$\overline{ad}([a, b]) = [\overline{ad}(a), \overline{ad}(b)].$$

Or show

$$\overline{ad}([a, b])(x) = [\overline{ad}(a), \overline{ad}(b)](x)$$

But LHS is $[[a, b], x] + A$ and RHS is

$$\begin{aligned} &(\overline{ad}(a) \cdot \overline{ad}(b) - \overline{ad}(b) \cdot \overline{ad}(a))(x) \\ &= [a, [b, x]] - [b, [a, x]] + A = [a, [b, x]] + [b, [x, a]] + A = -[x, [a, b]] + A = [[a, b], x] + A. \end{aligned}$$

□

So

$$\text{img}(\overline{ad}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(L/A) \implies \dim \text{img}(\overline{ad}) \leq \dim(A) < \dim L = k + 1.$$

So $\dim \text{img}(\overline{ad}) \leq k$ and $a \in A$ is nilpotent $\implies \overline{ad}(a)$ is nilpotent $\implies \overline{ad}(a)$ is nilpotent. So $\text{img}(\overline{ad})$ satisfies induction hypothesis. Hence there exists $y + A \neq 0 \in L/A$ such that

$$(\overline{ad})_a(y + A) = 0 \forall a \in A \implies [a, y] + A = 0 \implies [a, y] \in A \forall a \in A.$$

Note if $A \subset A \oplus Fy \subset L$ then since A has maximum dimension we get that $A \oplus Fy = L \implies \dim A = \dim L - 1$ and note that A is ideal here. □

So $L = A \oplus Fy$. So $\dim(A) = k$. We apply induction hypothesis on A . So there exist $0 \neq u \in V$ such that $a(u) = 0 \forall a \in A$.

Let $W = \cap_{a \in A} \text{Null}(A)$. Note $u \in W$.

By invariance lemma, W is invariant under L . So $y(W) \subset W$. But y is nilpotent. So is y restricted over W is nilpotent. Hence $\exists v \in W$ such that $y(v) = 0$. Since

$$L = A \oplus Fy \implies x = a + By, a \in A, B \in F \implies x(v) = a(v) + By(v) = 0 \forall x \in L.$$

□

We use induction on L . If $\dim L = 1$. Then L is spanned by a vector x . Then x is representable as a Upper triangular matrix. So done.

Suppose \forall lie algebra of $\dim \leq k$, the statement is true. Say $\dim L = k + 1$.

We showed that $\exists 0 \neq u \in V$ such that $x(u) = 0 \forall x \in L$. Set $U = \text{span}\{u\}$. And let $\bar{V} = V/U$. Consider the map $L \rightarrow \text{gl}(\bar{V})$ such that $x \in L \rightarrow \bar{x}$.

Note that the image of the map is subset of $\text{gl}(\bar{V})$. Moreover \bar{V} has dimension k . So \exists basis of \bar{V} such that all \bar{x} are upper triangular. Hence $\{v_1 + U, \dots, v_k + U\}$. Then $\{u, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a basis of V . As $x(u) = 0$, we get that x is strictly upper triangular.

□

§4 second version of Engel's theorem

Theorem 4.1. A Lie algebra L is nilpotent if and only if for all $x \in L$ the linear map $\text{adx} : L \rightarrow$ is nilpotent.

Proof. If L is nilpotent then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^N = 0$. Then $[[[\dots [x[x, \dots [x, y] \dots]] \in L^N = 0 \implies (\text{adx})^{N-1}(y) = 0 \implies (\text{adx})^{N-1} = 0$.

Let $\bar{L} = \text{ad } L$. $\text{ad} : L \rightarrow \text{gl}(L)$. Every element of \bar{L} is nilpotent. So \exists basis such that $\text{ad } x$ is upper triangular strictly.

So \bar{L} is nilpotent. (as when we commute two Upper triangular matrix, we get 2nd upper diagonal to be 0s and so on). Since \bar{L} is nilpotent, then so is $L/Z(L) \cong \bar{L}$. Hence L is nilpotent.

□

Remark 4.2. Converse of Engel's theorem is not true. Let I denote the identity map in $\text{gl}(V)$. The Lie subalgebra $\text{Span}\{I\}$ of $\text{gl}(V)$ is nilpotent. It is (trivially) nilpotent as it is spanned by one vector and $[x, x] = 0$. In any basis of V , the map I is represented by the identity matrix, which is certainly not strictly upper triangular.

§5 Lie's theorem

Theorem 5.1. Let V be an n -dimensional complex vector space and let L be a solvable Lie subalgebra of $\text{gl}(V)$. Then there is a basis of V in which every element of L is represented by an upper triangular matrix.

Claim 5.2. Suppose $V \equiv \mathbb{C}^n$ and $x \in \text{gl}(V)$. Then \exists a basis of V such that x is upper triangular matrix.

Proof. We begin with the claim

Claim 5.3. x has an eigenvector

Proof. Take any $0 \neq v \in V$ and consider $\{v, xv, x^2v, \dots, x^mv\}$ where m is the minimum such that the vectors are linearly dependent. So $\exists \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $\alpha_m \neq 0$. We can factor over \mathbb{C} . So

$$\alpha_m(x - \lambda_0 I) \dots (x - \lambda_m I)v = 0.$$

Take k to be minimum such that $w = (x - \lambda_{k+1} I) \dots (x - \lambda_m I)v = 0$. Now, $(x - \lambda_k I)w = 0 \implies xw = \lambda_k w$. \square

Now we try to prove by induction on dimension of V . Say it is true for all dimensions less than or equal to k . Let $w \in V$ be an eigenvector of x with value λ .

Consider $x : V \rightarrow V$ and $\bar{x} : V/\mathbb{C}w \rightarrow V/\mathbb{C}w$. And $\bar{x}(v + \mathbb{C}w) = x(v) + \mathbb{C}w$. So $\dim(V/\mathbb{C}w) = k$. We apply induction hypothesis to $V/\mathbb{C}w$. Then there is a basis $\{v_1 + \mathbb{C}w, \dots, v_{k+1} + \mathbb{C}w\}$. Then the basis of V as $\{w, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$ works. \square

Lemma 5.4. Let V be a non-zero complex vector space. Suppose that L is a solvable Lie subalgebra of $gl(V)$. Then there is some non-zero $v \in V$ which is a simultaneous eigenvector for all $x \in L$.

Proof. We do Induction on $\dim L$. If 1 then say it is spanned by x . Since $x \in gl(V)$ it has an eigenvector. So done.

Suppose the statement holds for all lie algebra of $\dim k$ and $\dim L = k + 1$.

Since L is solvable $\implies L^{(N)} = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Note $L' \subset L$ and $L' \neq L$ else $L^{(N)} = L \forall n$.

Choose a subspace A of L which contains L' and is such that $L = A \oplus \text{Span}\{z\}$ for some $z \in L$.

Claim 5.5. A is ideal of L .

Proof.

$$x \in L, a \in A, [x, a] \in [L, L] = L' \subset A'.$$

\square

$\dim A = k$, A is solvable. By inductive hypothesis $\implies \exists w \in V$ w is eigenvector for all $a \in A$.

$$\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$aw = \lambda(a)w$$

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V | a(v) = \lambda(a)v\}$$

So by invariance lemma, we get that V_λ is L -invariant. So $x(v) \in V_\lambda \forall v \in V_\lambda$. So $\exists u \in V_\lambda$ which is eigenvector of $z \in V$. Let $z(u) = \mu(u)$. $\forall x \in L, x = \alpha + \beta z$

$$x(u) = \alpha(u) + \beta z(u) = \lambda(\alpha)u + \beta \mu(u) \forall x.$$

So done! \square

So now the main proof.

Proof. We do induction V . For $\dim 1$ it is good. Say true for k . Now for $k + 1$. It is essentially same as engel's theorem.

Find $w \in V$ such that w is eigenvector for all $x \in L$.

$$\implies x(w) = \lambda(x)w, \lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Define

$$\bar{x}(V + \mathbb{C}w) = x(v) + \mathbb{C}w.$$

Consider $\text{Im}(\bar{x}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V/\mathbb{C}w)$. So we use induction hypothesis, get a basis $\{v_1 + \mathbb{C}w, \dots, v_k + \mathbb{C}w\}$. So let the basis be $\{w, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. \square